## Ramp Repair & Modification Grant Ranking Roll-up Worksheet

Project:									
Requested:	\$				Recommended	: \$			
Contact Age	ncy:								
Name:			Phone:			Email	:		
Previous Gra	nt(s) receive	ed by Ag	ency						
Site Visited									
Existing # of	Launches:				Anticipated # o	f Laun	ches:		
Project Ra	nking			·	Review	er:	Α	В	С
1) Health and	d Safety (35	points):	14CCR 51	L04(a)					
2) Existing Pl	hase Funding	g (30 poi	ints) 14C	CR 510	04(b)				
3) Local Inve	stment (25 p	ooints) 1	4CCR 51	04(c)					
4) Relative D	emand (20 <sub>l</sub>	points) 1	4CCR 51	04(d)					
5) Project Re	adiness and	Engine	ering Fea	sibility	y (55 points)				
6) Public Acc	ess (20 poin	ts)							
Summary of Project Scop	•	cope Iter	ns:						
Summary:									
Reviewer A:									
neviewei A.									
Reviewer B:									
Reviewer C:									

The minimum threshold for consideration is 95 points.			
Total Points Available	185 points		
Total Points Earned: Reviewer A			
Total Points Earned: Reviewer B			
Total Points Earned: Reviewer C			
Final Tally			

## Ramp Repair Grant Reviewers' Ranking Worksheet

	1 1	J	
1) Hea	lth and Safety - 14CCR 5104(a) (35 points available)		Points Earned
	Points are assigned on the severity of the ha	zard that will be correc	cted
	e project fix or address a health or safety r boaters at the facility? (35 points)		
Comments:			
2) Exis	ting Phase Funding - 14CCR 5104(b)		

2) Exis	ting Phase Funding - 14CCR 5104(b) (30 points available)	Points Earned
	repair or modification be completed in the ed phase of funding? (30 points)	
Comments:		

_	al Investment/Matching Funds - 14CCR 4(c) (25 points available)	Points Earned
• 0-25% (5	5 points)	
• 26%-50%	% (10 points)	
• Over 50°	% (10 points)	
Comments:		

4) Relative Demand - 14CCR 5104(d) (20 points available)	Points Earned
<ul> <li>Is there a demonstrated demand for boating access at this site? (10 points)</li> </ul>	
Is there public support for the project? (10 points)	
Comments:	

5) Project Readiness & Engineering Feasibility (55 points available)	Points Earned
<ul> <li>Is the project well-defined in terms of scope and cost? I.e. has engineering cost estimate been completed? (20 points)</li> </ul>	
Is CEQA started or complete? (5 points)	
Are regulatory permits in-hand? (5 points)	
<ul> <li>Have regulatory permits applications been submitted? (5 points)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Is the project practical from a construction point of view? (20 points)</li> </ul>	
Comments:	

6) Pub	lic Access	Points Earned
	(20 points available)	1 011100 2011100
	e proposed project meet current ADA	
requirer	nents? (5 points)	
<ul> <li>Does the</li> </ul>	e proposed project restore public access at	
this location? (15 points)		
Comments:		

If the answer to any of the below questions is "NO" the project would be ineligible for grant funding.			
Is proposed project open and accessible to all public boaters?			
Does the Benefit Cost Ratio meet or exceed "1"?			
Will the property be owned or leased by a qualifying public agency for a term of at least 20 years from proposed completion of the project?			
If funded, will the project be completed within three years of State funding availability?			
Does Local agency have dedicated staff available with expertise to manage the proposed project?			
Is the proposed project consistent with the local General Development plan or Coastal Plan?			
If proposed grant is funded, are all other necessary funds in place to have a fully funded project?			
Was this project originally funded by a DBW grant?			
Was the damage or loss of boating access caused by an unexpected event such as a storm, flooding, drought, or lake draw down?			
Can the applicant operate and maintain the facility and proposed improvements for 20 years without cost to the state?			