



Cuyamaca Rancho State Park – General Plan

Public Meeting #1 – at Viejas Casino Dream Catcher Showroom, October 3, 2012

Recorded Written Comments

#1

Address fees within Park, will they be required along 79 lots? Fees are currently required at Merrigan

#2

Charging money at Sweet Valley for the existing small unlevelled sites – Northern Horse camp needed

#3

City of San Diego: Don't want overdevelopment of park, concern for natural resources, more transparency of reforestation project, more science based approach to reforestation project

#4

Support equestrian parking in northern portion of park – esp. near Lake Trails. Current availability & size not adequate for large rigs.

#5

Support for horse camp at Merrigan area, more horse facilities. This is what land was given to Parks for.

#6

- Trails should be changed to multi-use
- Resource Inventory – sensitivity areas pre-fire, re-evaluate for appropriate design post-fire
- Stake holder groups

#7

There is bias of stakeholders providing input into GP. Study of how trails are used.

#8 *[Presentation Correction]*

- Green Valley – Family vs Group
- Need day-use, camp, & better trail access

#9

GP should protect equestrian interests, family camp at south and north ends of park

#10

North end of camp no equestrian camp. The new camp is no substitute for Los Caballos

#11

- Descanso City Planning Group. Further define horse camp at Merrigan.
- What was created was a small facility.
- Community is unclear as to what uses for equestrians are available near Descanso

#12

Parking fees too high

#13

- Improved bike routes along 79.
- Bike access to Descanso, Julian and Mount Laguna

#14

- Needs to be an open process
- Keep web page up to date
- Transparency of process with no secret meetings
- All concerns should be equally weighted
- Create a plan that doesn't need to be "defended"

#15

- Parking fees – enable all visitor to afford using park
- Bring back Los Caballos
- Want to be able to use Tullock property
- Want to use volunteer power to create opportunities to improve park.

#16

- Open all trails as multi-use
- Issues with multi-use can be addressed with bear bells

16a Where do park fees go? Statistics → do increased fees really mean less visitation

16b Volunteers donated money for a trail machine, but volunteers are not allowed to use it & it is not being used by staff

#17

CRSPIA will look into improving signage

#18

Strong pool of volunteers available to clean-up trails, but are underutilized

#19

Explain further, process of park funding & appropriation

#20

- Horse camp for horses only
- Concerned over conflicts with bikers
- Interested in horse only trails